

1 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

2 Was there?

3 A. Not that I know of.

4 Q. Okay. How many -- of all the
5 years that you've been doing law enforcement
6 including with the State Police have you gone
7 and started investigations on a guess of
8 wrongdoing by a police officer without any
9 facts to back it up?

10 A. Probably none without any facts.

11 Q. Okay. What fact would you
12 want -- fact, not guess, not assumption, not
13 premonition, what fact as a State Trooper
14 would you want to allege that somebody does
15 or doesn't have a document?

16 A. I would just take a totality of
17 the circumstances into account and see if it
18 was --

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. -- plausible that there would be
21 a report. And in this particular case I
22 didn't think there would be a report.

23 Q. Okay. Would you accuse somebody
24 and start an inquiry of wrongdoing unless you
25 knew there was some wrongdoing?

STEVEN J. IGNATZ

2 Let me help you out a
3 little bit because I don't want you to talk
4 in a vacuum.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. If your station was investigating
7 a missing child case such as this, the one
8 we're looking at, however you want to
9 classify it, and someone came in and told you
10 the children were put in NCIC and you knew
11 you hadn't put them in NCIC, okay, would that
12 be sufficient information for you to accuse
13 somebody of not having a report before they
14 put the NCIC entry in?

15 A. I think it would -- no. Just
16 with that information? No.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. But can I continue?

19 Q. Sure. Go right ahead. I'm sure
20 Randy would like you to.

21 A. I think that would open the door
22 to look to make sure.

23 Q. Okay. So even if you would ask
24 for it to be looked at, certainly wouldn't
25 have any knowledge that there had been a

STEVEN J. IGNATZ

1
2 violation.

3 Would you?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Okay. You couldn't go put an
6 Affidavit out and accuse somebody of that.

7 Could you?

8 A. I wouldn't.

9 Q. Okay.

10 MR. PURICELLI: I have
11 nothing further.

12 MR. HENZES: I have
13 nothing.

14 - - -

15 (Witness excused.)

16 - - -

17 (At 12:26 p.m.,
18 proceedings were concluded.)

19 - - -

20

21

22

23


24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, BARBARA C. STALHEIM, being a
Certified Shorthand Reporter in Pennsylvania, do
hereby certify that the foregoing oral testimony of
STEVEN J. IGNATZ was taken stenographically by me on
Thursday, January 7, 2010, after the said witness was
duly sworn or affirmed prior to the commencement of
his testimony; and that this deposition transcript is
a true and correct transcript of the same, fully
transcribed under my direction, to the best of my
ability and skill.

I further certify that I am not
a relative, employee or attorney of any of the parties
in this action; that I am not a relative or employee
of any attorney in this action; and that I am not
financially interested in the event of this action.



BARBARA C. STALHEIM
Certified Shorthand Reporter